

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,928	07/13/2006	Ilan Ziv	P-8972-US	8877
49443 Pearl Cohen Z	7590 06/07/2010 edek Latzer, LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 Broadway			SAMALA, JAGADISHWAR RAO	
12th Floor New York, N	7 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/595 029 ZIV ET AL

	10/303,920	ZIV LI AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SNI, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above. The specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above. The specified above the specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above. The specified above the specified above. The specified above the specified above the specified above. The specified above the specified above. The specified above the specified	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
· -		ecoution on to the morite in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 32,33,35-44 and 46-54 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 32,33,35-44 and 46-54 is/are rejected	l.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/13/2006, 06/25/2009 & 01/13/2010.	6) Other:					

Attachment(s)		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Draf	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Netice of Informal Patert Application. 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/585,928

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 32-44 and 46-54 in the reply filed on 03/07/2010 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Objections

Claims 35, 37-40, 46, and 48-49 are objected to because of the following informalities: The F¹⁸ moiety has to rewrite as ¹⁸F in all the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application/Control Number: 10/585,928

Art Unit: 1618

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 32-33, 35-44 and 46-54 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 5-6 and 9-10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,270,799. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because instant application claims compounds including pharmaceutically acceptable salts, hydrates, solvates, and metal chelates of the compound represented by the structure (II), (III), (IV), (VII), (IX) and (X) and U.S. Pat. 7,670,590 also disclose same compounds used for detecting PNOM-cells. Thus, the claims are readily envisaged by the teaching of the U.S. Pat. 7,670,590 and the claims are properly included in the rejection.

Art Unit: 1618

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims 35-40 and 46-52 are allowable. A through search of the prior art did not bring forth any compounds represented by formula (IV, V wherein J is ¹⁸F, XI, XIII wherein D is ¹⁸F and XIV).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA whose telephone number is (571)272-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 A.M to 5.00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jake M. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618 Jagadishwar R Samala Examiner Art Unit 1618